



# **LINEARIZATION:**

## **REDUCING DISTORTION IN POWER AMPLIFIERS**

BY: DR. ALLEN KATZ, MAY 2004

04.16.04




## **OUTLINE**

- **WHY LINEARIZE**
- **TYPES OF LINEARIZERS**
- **THEORY/IDEAL LIMITER**
- **PREDISTORTION LINEARIZERS**
- **PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**
- **RESULTS**
- **CONCLUSIONS**




# IN PAST MOST AMPS USED FOR SC FM MOD SIGNALS




- NL PRODUCTS ELIMINATED WITH LP FILTER
- OPERATER AT SATURATION (MAX PWR & EFF)

TODAY MULTI-CARRIER AND COMPLEX MODULATED SIGNALS COMMON WHEN MORE THAN ONE CARRIER - DISTORTION PRODUCED (IM)




# TO REDUCE DISTORTION TO AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL

-MUST OPERATE AMPLIFIER AT REDUCED POWER LEVEL (BACKOFF FROM SATURATION)




## DISTORTION ALSO PRODUCED BY CHANGE IN PHASE WITH POWER LEVEL



$$A_c \cos(\omega_c t + M \cos[\omega_m t]) = A_c \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n(M) \cos([\omega_c + n\omega_m]t)$$



## FOR A DIGITALLY MODULATED CARRIER DISTORTION PRODUCES SPECTRAL REGROWTH



## LINEARIZATION --

SYSTEMATIC PROCEDURE FOR REDUCING DISTORTIONS

USUALLY EXTRA COMPONENTS ADDED TO AN AMPLIFIER

WHEN CONFIGURED IN A SUBASSEMBLY OR BOX KNOWN AS A **LINEARIZER**

THREE COMMON FORMS:

- 1) FEEDFORWARD
- 2) FEEDBACK
- 3) PREDISTORTION

+ TECHNIQUES TO IMPROVE EFFICIENCY USING NL PAs



## CHOICE OF LINEARIZATION

- LEVEL OF LINEARITY (DISTORTION REDUCTION) NEEDED.
- BANDWIDTH REQUIRED (SIGNAL AND OPERATIONAL).
- COST/COMPLEXITY CONSTRAINTS.





## LINEARIZERS HAVE BEEN USED WITH

- TWTAs and KLYSTRONS
- BIPOLAR SSPAs (CLASS A, AB, B)
- FET SSPAs (GaAs, MOS, LDMOS)



## LINEARIZERS ALLOW HPAs TO OPERATE CLOSER TO SAT



## FIRST RULE:


**YOU CAN'T LINEARIZE AN AMPLIFIER THAT IS ALREADY LINEAR!**

**WANT TO OPTIMIZE EFFICIENCY AND SATURATED POWER, NOT LINEARITY**

**EXCELLENT RESULTS CAN BE OBTAINED WITH CLASS A-B AND B AMPS BOTH FET AND BIPOLAR**



## IDEAL AMPLIFIER CHARACTERISTIC




**WANT CONSTANT GAIN AND PHASE**





## MINIMUM FEEDFORWARD OPBO FOR IMD CANCELATION (20 dB)




DEPENDS ON: **1) AUX AMP SIZE,**  
**2) OUTPUT COUPLER COEF.**



## FEEDBACK LINEARIZATION


### \*FEEDBACK (NETWORK)

- NARROW BAND
- STABILITY PROB
- REDUCED GAIN
- DIFF TO ADJ




### \*INDIRECT FEEDBACK

- OPERATES ON ENVELOPE
- VERY LIMITED BW  $< 1/(4\Delta t_s)$
- CAN BE POLAR OR CARTESIAN






## CARTESIAN FEEDBACK ELIMINATES THE NEED FOR PHASE CORRECTION CIRCUITRY



## PREDISTORTION



- RELATIVELY SIMPLE CIRCUITRY
- EASILY IMPLEMENTED AS A STAND-ALONE UNIT
- WIDE BAND (> 20% BW)
- MOST POPULAR FOR MICRO/MILLIMETER WAVE

## LINEARIZER GAIN DEPENDS ON INPUT TO HPA

- THE GAIN OF THE LINEARIZER (GL) MUST INCREASE BY THE SAME AMOUNT THE HPA'S GAIN (GA) DECREASES.

- $$GL(P_{outL}) - GL_{ss} = -[GA(P_{inA}) - GA_{ss}] \quad | \quad P_{outL} = P_{inA}$$

- $$\Phi L(P_{outL}) - \Phi L_{ss} = -[\Phi A(P_{inA}) - \Phi A_{ss}] \quad | \quad P_{outL} = P_{inA}$$


- $$GL(P_{inL}) = GL_{ss} + GA_{ss} - GA(P_{inL} + GL(P_{inL}))$$

- $$\Phi L(P_{inL}) = \Phi L_{ss} + \Phi A_{ss} - \Phi A(P_{inL} + GL(P_{inL}))$$

- $\Phi L$  DEPENDS ON THE  $GL$  AND CANNOT BE SET IDENPENDENTLY



## AN IDEAL LINEARIZER MUST PROVIDE A GAIN EXPANSION THAT APPROACHES INFINITY NEAR SATURATION




$dG/dP \Rightarrow \infty$  as  $P_{in} \Rightarrow Sat$




# FORMS OF PREDISTORTION LINEARIZERS



## 1. TRANSMISSION



## 2. REFLECTIVE




## 3. IN LINE



# TECHNIQUES TO IMPROVE EFFICIENCY USING NL PAs

- MANY WAYS TO ACCOMPLISH.
- CLASSICAL “KHAN METHOD” DEMODS ENVELOPE AND LIMITS SIGNAL. THEN REMODULATES AT OUTPUT PA.
- LINC SYSTEMS USE OBTAIN LINEAR AMPLIFICATION BY COMBINING TWO NON-LINEAR PAs.
- LOAD MODULATION AND OUTPHASING (DOHERTY – ONE EXAMPLE)


## EER – ENVELOPE ELIMINATION AND RESTORATION



IF ELIMINATE ENVELOPE, SIGNAL CAN BE AMPLIFIED IN NL PA OPERATED AT OR NEAR SATURATION.




## LINC – LINEAR AMPLIFICATION WITH NON-LINEAR COMPONENTS



CAN OBTAIN ANY AMPLITUDE FROM THE SUM OF 2 CONSTANT AMPLITUDE SIGNALS OF VARIABLE PHASE




# DIGITAL PREDISTORTION



- CAN PRODUCE CURVES OF ANY SHAPE
- NORMALLY PROCESS AT BASEBAND
- CAN USE EITHER G AND  $\phi$  OR I AND Q
- MUST SAMPLE AT  $> 2 \times$  CORRECTION BW FOR G AND  $\phi$
- BUT ONLY  $>$  CORRECTION BW FOR I AND Q
- CORRECTION BW (CBW)  $\geq 3 \times$  BW OF SIGNAL
- MUST USE MANY BITS FOR HIGH CANCELLATION ( $< 6$  dB/)

# DIGITAL ADAPTIVE PREDISTORTION



ADAPTIVE SYSTEMS CORRECT AT  $\ll$  ENVELOPE RATE

# DIGITAL PREDISTORTION


- **ADVANTAGES:**
  - \* ACCURATE CORRECTION OVER WIDE DYNAMIC RANGE AND FOR IRREGULAR NON MONOTONIC CHARACTERISTICS
  - \* EASY TO MODIFY AND UPDATE
  - \* SIMPLE TO IMPLEMENT AS ADAPTIVE SYSTEM
- **DISADVANTAGES:**
  - \* CORRECTION BANDWIDTH LIMITED BY SAMPLING RATE:  $SR = CBW = N \times BW$
  - \* COST CAN BE HIGHER THAN ANALOG
  - \* POWER CONSUMPTION CAN BE HIGH
  - \* WIDE BW SYSTEMS DIFFICULT TO IMPLEMENT



# PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

MAGNITUDE & PHASE IMPORTANT INDICATORS OF PERFORMANCE

**\*\* OBTAIN WITH POWER SWEEP**



**SEPARATION OF 1 dB COMPRESSION AND SATURATION PROVIDES GAGE FOR COMPARISON**




# C/I (CARRIER TO IMD) MEASUREMENT

- MANY DIFFERENT STANDARDS MAKE COMPARISON DIF.
- DATA USUALLY PRESENTED REL TO BACKOFF FROM SAT.
- SAT POINT SHOULD BE SINGLE CARRIER SAT.  
2 CARRIER SAT ABT 1 dB LOWER, NOISE ABT 1.5 dB.
- CAN NOT USE COMPRESSION POINT FOR REFERENCE.  
1 dB = SAT - D
- BOTH IPBO AND OPBO USED ... IPBO CAN BE MISLEADING.  
BEST TO REFER TO OPBO  
- OUTPUT LEVEL IS WHAT'S IMPORTANT!



## OFTEN RESULTS PRESENTED FOR C/I3 ONLY

With Linearizers, not uncommon for 5th order terms to be greater than 3rds or of same order




$$C/I \text{ total} = C / \sqrt{I_3^2 + I_5^2 + I_7^2 + \dots}$$

Total C/I preferred to C/I3


C/I<sub>min</sub> is a good compromise




# IMD TERMS CAN BE NON-SYMMETRICAL



## DUE TO MEMORY EFFECTS (AM/AM AND AM/PM)




UPPER & LOW ODD ORDER  
AM/AM TERMS IN PHASE



UPPER & LOW ODD ORDER  
AM/PM TERMS OUT OF PHASE




# A LINEARIZER IMPROVES LINEARITY OF A CLASS A SSPA






## LINEARIZATION OF A CLASS A SSPA PROVIDES ONLY

A 0.5 dB POWER INCREASE FOR A C/I OF 26 dB,  
BUT A 2.5 dB POWER INCREASE FOR A C/I OF 50 dB




## LINEARIZATION OF LESS LINEAR CLASS AB SSPA

PROVIDES > 1.5 dB POWER INCREASE FOR C/I OF 26 dB.




## WITH A TWTA A C/I = 26 dB CAN OBTAIN > 3 dB POWER INCREASE




WITH MULTIPLE CARRIERS THE IMPROVEMENT IS EVEN GREATER!




## MULTIPLE CARRIERS (N>2)



- NO SIMPLE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN C/I FOR 2 AND N CARRIER CASE
- **GREATER IMPROVEMENT**  
(REDUCTION IN OPBO) FOR A GIVEN C/I AS N INCREASES



# NPR - NOISE POWER RATIO




MEASURE OF N-CARRIER C/I

WANT DEPTH OF GENERATOR NOTCH > 10 dB BELOW NPR OF INTEREST




# NPR PREDICTS AMPLIFIER PERFORMANCE WITH MANY CARRIERS




FOR C/I = 25 dB OBTAIN ALMOST 6 dB INCREASE IN POWER.




## NPR OF CLASS AB SSPA



## PROVIDES SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION IN SPECTRUM





# EVEN NEAR SAT




> 2 dB POWER INCREASE

# REDUCTION IN SPECTRAL REGROWTH PROVIDED BY LINEARIZATION OF A TWTA




# IDEAL LINEARIZER PERFORMANCE IS LIMITED BY SIGNAL PEAK-TO-AVERAGE CHARACTERISTICS (PAC)

PAC SETS MINIMUM BACKOFF OF PA!  
CANNOT IMPROVE BY LINEARIZATION.  
MUST USE PA WITH HIGHER POWER/EFFICIENCY



# DSP L/TWTA AT 3 dB OPBO – C/I > 50 dB



## TWO KINDS OF BANDWIDTH


1) **STATIC BANDWIDTH** - ABILITY OF LIN MAG/PHASE TRANSFER RESP TO EQUALIZE AMP AT ALL FREQ OF INTEREST

- MEAS WITH 2 CLOSE SPACED TONES AT ALL FREQ OF INTEREST




2) **DYNAMIC BANDWIDTH** - ABILITY OF LIN MAG/PHASE TRANSFER RESP TO FOLLOW ENVELOPE OF SIGNALS

- MEAS WITH 2-TONE SIGNAL IN WHICH THE SPACING OF THE TONES IS INCREASED




## THE LINEARITY OF AMPLIFIERS DEGRADE WITH INCREASING CARRIER SPACING



## MAJOR CAUSE OF DEGRADATION --

INABILITY OF AMPLIFIERS TO FOLLOW RAPIDLY CHANGING ENVELOPE



ENVELOPE FREQUENCY

$$F_e = F_{\Delta}/2$$

TRANSFER CHARACTERISTICS CHANGE WITH  $F_e$



## MEMORY EFFECTS

- Memory Effects are changes in a Power Amplifier's (PA) non-linear characteristics resulting from the past history of the input signal.


$$V_o = f(V_{in}, \text{time})$$

- Primary cause drain/collector and gate/base bias change.
- Thermal, device and frequency are also factors.
- Standard predistortion linearizers depend on a stable non-linear response, and can be particularly degraded by memory effects.





## IMPROVEMENT IN C/I RESULTING FROM ADDED LOW INDUCTANCE DRAIN CAPACITORS (RESONATE AT 12 MHz)



OUTPUT BACKOFF IN dB

## SUMMARY

LINEARIZERS INCREASE HPA POWER CAPACITY AND EFFICIENCY FOR MULTI-CARRIER AND COMPLEX DIGITAL SIGNALS

NEW LINEARIZER DESIGNS HAVE GREATLY ENHANCED PERFORMANCE

SSPAs - BENEFIT GREATEST FOR CLASS B AND AB  
2 X POWER INCREASE IN HIGH LIN APPLICATIONS

TWTAs - 4 X POWER INCREASE AND DOUBLE EFFICIENCY

## SUMMARY

### FEEDFORWARD:

LINEARIZATION IS MOST VALUABLE  
WHEN VERY HIGH LIN REQUIRED.

### INDIRECT FEEDBACK:

WORKS WELL, BUT LIMITED  
IN BANDWIDTH.

### PREDISTORTION:

ADVANTAGES SIMPLICITY, WIDEBAND,  
VIALE BOTH LOW AND HIGH LIN.  
DSP CAN PROVIDE VERY HIGH LIN.



## FOR MORE INFORMATION

1. A. Katz, "Linearization: Reducing Distortion in Power Amplifiers," IEEE Microwave Magazine, pp. 37-49, December 2001.
2. Vuolevi and Rahkonen, "Distortion in RF Power Amplifiers", Artech House, 2003.
3. S. Cripps, "Advanced Techniques in RF Power Amplifier Design", Artech House, 2002.
4. A. Katz and R. Gray, "The Linearized Microwave Power Module," MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest, June, 2003.
5. A. Katz, "Performance Of Multi-carrier 16QAM Over a Linearized TWTA Satellite Channel," AIAA 20th International Communications Satellite Systems Conference Proceedings, Montreal, May 2002.
6. P. Kenington, "Methods Linearize RF Transmitters and Power Amps, Part 1, "Microwaves & RF Magazine," pp. 103-116, December 1998, Part 2, pp. 79-89, January 1999.

